## .CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL

# REPORT TO: CORPORATE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date of Meeting:

12 March 2013

Report of: Subject/Title:

Interim Borough Solicitor Work Programme update

### 1.0 Report Summary

1.1 To review items in the 2012/2013 Work Programme listed in the schedule attached, together with any other items suggested by Committee Members.

#### 2.0 Recommendations

That the 2012/2013 work programme be reviewed.

#### 3.0 Reasons for Recommendations

3.1 It is good practice to agree and review the Work Programme to enable effective management of the Committee's business.

#### 4.0 Wards Affected

- 4.1 All
- 5.0 Local Ward Members
- 5.1 Not applicable.
- 6.0 Policy Implications including Carbon reduction Health
- 6.1 Not known at this stage.

#### 7.0 Financial Implications

- 7.1 Not known at this stage.
- 8.0 Legal Implications
- 8.1 None.
- 9.0 Risk Management

9.1 There are no identifiable risks.

### 10.0 Background and Options

- 10.1 The schedule attached contains a list of items handed to it from the 5 Overview and Scrutiny Committees which were disbanded in December 2012. The items have yet to be prioritised.
- 10.2 Members are asked to review the schedule attached to this report, and if appropriate, add new items or delete items that no longer require any scrutiny activity. When selecting potential topics, Members should have regard to the Council's new three year plan and also to the general criteria listed below, which should be applied to all potential items when considering whether any Scrutiny activity is appropriate.

The following questions should be asked in respect of each potential work programme item:

- Does the issue fall within a corporate priority;
- Is the issue of key interest to the public;
- Does the matter relate to a poor or declining performing service for which there is no obvious explanation;
- Is there a pattern of budgetary overspends;
- Is it a matter raised by external audit management letters and or audit reports?
- Is there a high level of dissatisfaction with the service;
- 10.3 If during the assessment process any of the following emerge, then the topic should be rejected:
  - The topic is already being addressed elsewhere
  - The matter is subjudice
  - Scrutiny cannot add value or is unlikely to be able to conclude an investigation within the specified timescale

#### 11 Access to Information

The background papers relating to this report can be inspected by contacting the report writer:

Name: Mark Nedderman Designation: Senior Scrutiny Officer

Tel No: 01270 686459 Email: mark.nedderma mark.nedderman@cheshireeast.gov.uk